

### Erosion Rate vs. Susceptibility: Shoreline Positions, USGS DSAS, and Hazard Classification

Melanie Perello | NOAA Coastal Management Fellow



Minnesota's Lake Superior Coastal Program | mndnr.gov/mlscp

## Erosion Rate vs. Susceptibility

• Erosion (Recession) Rate: average landward movement of the shoreline over time

- Measured in distance over time (ft/yr)
- Erosion Susceptibility: vulnerability of a shoreline area to erosion.
  - Erosion rate, geology, land use, water levels, wave energy
- Erosion Hazard: Official classification based on erosion rate, susceptibility, and/or risk to property (structures/infrastructure).
  - Typically defined by state agencies or LGUs

# USGS Digital Shoreline Analysis System (DSAS)

- DSAS 5.0 is a ESRI add-on produced by the USGS and is publically available
  - Developed in 1990s for assessing national shoreline change
- DSAS does two things:
  - Generates perpendicular transect lines between a baseline and shoreline segment(s)
  - Measures distance along transect and generates metrics based on time and uncertainty



# Historical Shoreline Positions

- Refer to the same features for accurate analysis
  - Vegetation, ordinary high-water, low-water or wet/dry lines
  - If different features used, must estimate correlate between them
- Shorelines can be from different sources (photos, LIDAR, charts, maps)
- Baseline: NOAA & USACE Hardened Shorelines dataset (2014)



#### Recognize Error Exists

#### $\sqrt{U_g^2 + U_d^2 + U_t^2 + Ua^2 + UDR_G^2 + ULIDA_R^2 + Up_d^2}$

Error Calculator Table												
Measurement Error/Uncertainty (meters)	Aerial Imagery (1930 - 1960)	Aerial Imagery (1960 - 1990)	Aerial Imagery (1990 - 2020)	Ortho-Quad Digital Raster Graphics (DRGs) (1940s - 1990s)	Harbor Chart/T- Sheet (1800s - 1950s)	Harbor Chart/T-Sheet (1980s - present)	LIDAR Breakline	Paper Map (1800s - 1940s)	Paper Map (1940s - present)			
Georeferencing (Ug)	4			4	4	4		4	4			
Digitizing (U <sub>d</sub> )	1	. 1	1	1	1	1		1	1			
T-Sheet Survey (U <sub>t</sub> )					10	3		10	3			
Digital Raster Graphics (U <sub>DRG</sub> )				15								
Aerial Photography (U <sub>a</sub> )	10	) 1.5	3									
LIDAR Total Positional Uncertainty (U <sub>pi</sub> )							4					
Interpretation of Shoreline ( $U_{pd}$ )	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	-	0.27	0.27			
TOTAL ERROR/UNCERTAINTY (meters) =	10.8200	1.8229	3.1738	15.5587	10.8200	5,1062	4.0000	10.8200	5.1062			



# Using DSAS

- Input historical shorelines and baseline
- Define how transects are drawn
- Determine what statistics to calculate





#### Calculations





#### Data Interpretation

- How to interpret and display erosion rates?
  - Current discussion point for CEHM
- Recognize that calculations depend on the inputted shorelines and timeframe
  - Rates calculated over long-term (1940s to 2010s) would be lower than ....
  - Rates calculated over a highly variable short-term (e.g., 2010s)

# **Establishing Erosion Hazard Areas**

- Erosion rate and/or susceptibility used to establish official erosion hazard areas (EHA)
- Reference vs. On-site Assessment
- NSMB classification: Officially 1 ft/yr, actually Johnson defined high as areas with rates of 0.46 – 1.1 ft/yr and non-bedrock shoreline

	Minnesota	Wisconsin	Michigan	Ohio	Pennsylvania	New York
Erosion Hazard Area	High Erosion Potential (0.46 to ≥ 1 ft/yr) with non-bedrock shorelines	Recession Rates Only	High Risk Erosion Area (HREA; ≥ 1 ft/yr over 15 yrs)	Coastal Erosion Area (CEA) based on threshold. 5 ft standard error divided by years between images and multiplied by 30 (to estimate 30 years of recession). Measured recession rates times 30 that are greater than this value is a CEA. Anything below this threshold receives no designation.	Bluff Recession Hazard Area (BRHA) are identified by analysis of recession rates, a review of existing or potential damage to property or structures, and an examination of the causes of erosion at the site. Before designation of a BRHA there is a public comment period and notification to the local municipality.	Natural Protective Feature Areas (NPFA); Structural Hazard Area (SHA) where recession (≥ 1 ft/yr)
Current Map	1980s	2015	2018	2018	2018	1980s
Data Used	Imagery	Imagery	Imagery	Imagery	Control Point Field Measurements	LiDAR, Imagery
Transects	250 m	10 m	150 ft	100 ft	0.5 km	50 m
Geological vs. Vegetation Erosion Hazard Line	Geological	Geological	Vegetation	Geological	Geological	Geological
Field Work Component			Yes		Yes	Yes



# Thank You!

#### **Melanie Perello**

melanie.perello@state.mn.us

218-834-1404